AD	

GRANT NUMBER:

DAMD17-98-1-8082

TITLE: Impact of BRCA 1/2 Testing on Marital Relationships

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

Sharon Manne, Ph.D.

RECIPIENT ORGANIZATION:

Fox Chase Cancer Center

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19111

REPORT DATE: May 1999

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;

distribution unlimited

The views, opinion and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

19990928 412

	REPORT DOC	UMENTATION PAGE			Approved o. 0704-0188	
1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank	May 1999	3. REPORT Annual		3 May 99)	
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITLE Impact of BRCA 1/2 Relationships	Testing on Marital	,	5. FUNDING NUMBER DAMD17-98		
6.	AUTHOR(S)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Sharon Manne, Ph.D.	- ,				
7.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	,	8. PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER		
	Fox Chase Cancer Philadelphia, Pe		L :	·		
9.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES;		10. SPONSORING/MO AGENCY REPORT		
	U.S. Army Medical Resear Fort Detrick, Maryland 21				-	
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			<u> </u>		
12a.	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STA	NTEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CO	OE	
Approved for Public release; distribution unlimited						
13.	ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)					
	The present study is a prospective examination of the impact of genetic testing for breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility on marital relationships and the quality of life of husbands. Participants are women (age 18 and older) who are members of families in which a disease conferring mutation has been identified and their spouses. Interviews of husbands and wives are completed by telephone prior to receiving test results, as well as 1-, 6-, and 12-months after test disclosure. The preliminary data showed that wives (n=49) were more likely to use avoidance, have intrusive thoughts, and experience psychological distress compared to husbands (n=35). Wives also rated higher marital satisfaction. The husbands scored lower at baseline on four of the measures of interest (the presence of intrusive thoughts, general psychological distress, state anxiety, and marital adjustment) than at 1-month follow-up (n=6). The results of the preliminary analysis suggest that husbands may experience less psychological distress than wives at the baseline assessment (pre-mutation disclosure). However, the level of distress may increase for the husbands within one month of learning of the wife's mutation status. Further analysis when more disclosures have been completed will elucidate the association between mutation status outcome and these psychological measures.  14. Subject terms  BRCA 1/2 Testing; Psychological Outcome; Marital Relationship;					
	Social Constrain		; marlt	ar kelationsh	16. PRICE CODE	
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURI	TY CLASSIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclas		Unlimited	

# FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.
Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.
Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.
Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.
In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).
For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.
In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.
In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.
In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Hulln Manne PI - Signature

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

pag	
I Introduction	
A. Background and Study Rationale	5
B. Significance of the Study	6
C. Preliminary Studies	7
II Body	8
A. Technical Objectives	8
B. Methods	9
1. Overview of Study Design	9
2. Participants	9
3. Procedures	.0
C. Preliminary Results 1	.2
1. Findings	.2
2. Obstacles to Beginning Research-Related Tasks	.3
D. Discussion	.5
III Conclusions	.5
IV References	6
V Appendix	8
A. Study Measures Key 1	9
B. Measures Administered to Both Partners	20
C. Measures Administered Only to Wives	30
D. Measures Administered Only to Husbands	35
E. Consent Form3	39

# IMPACT OF BRCA1/2 TESTING ON MARITAL RELATIONSHIPS

#### INTRODUCTION

The Impact of BRCA1/2 Testing on Marital Relationships is a prospective longitudinal study designed to examine the impact of genetic testing for breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility on the marital relationships of women at risk as well as the impact upon the quality of life of their husbands. This study is a companion proposal to an ongoing, DOD-funded prospective study that is evaluating the outcomes of genetic testing for breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility on women from hereditary breast cancer families. This second study extends the ongoing DOD-funded study to examine the impact of genetic testing upon the marital relationship and the psychosocial impact on the spouse. Specific aims of this study are: 1) to evaluate the short- and long-term impact of BRCA1/2 testing on psychological distress (both general and cancer-specific) of husbands of participants in genetic testing programs; 2) to evaluate the short- and long-term impact of BRCA1/2 testing on the marital relationships of participants and husbands, and examine whether marital satisfaction is an early predictor of psychological morbidity among participants in genetic testing programs and their spouses; 3) to examine the association between spouse responses during the testing process and carriers' distress post-notification.

# **Background and Study Rationale**

Recent molecular studies have led to the identification of a major breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility gene, called BRCA1 (Miki et al., 1994). About 5-10% of all breast cancer cases are attributed to inherited BRCA1 mutations. Healthy women who have inherited BRCA1 mutations have 80-90% lifetime risk of breast cancer and 40-65% risks of ovarian cancer (Easton et al., 1993). Among women who are affected with breast cancer, those with BRCA1 mutations are believed to have a 38% 10-year risk and a 65% cumulative risk of second primary breast cancers (Easton et al., 1995). A second susceptibility gene (BRCA2) is estimated to account for an additional 5% of breast cancer cases (Wooster et al., 1995) and is also associated with an elevated risk of ovarian cancer (Berman et al., 1996; Thorlacius et al., 1996). The prevalence of mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 is higher in certain subgroups of breast cancer patients, such as Jewish women, younger women and those with family histories of cancer.

Evaluations of the psychosocial impact of BRCA1/2 testing indicate that, although BRCA1/2 testing may not generate significant psychological morbidity (Lerman et al., 1996), a subset of gene mutation carriers may be vulnerable to test-related psychological distress (Croyle et al., 1997). As yet, nothing is known about the impact of BRCA1/2 testing on husbands of testing participants. Spouses may be vulnerable to psychological distress for several reasons. First, if the couple has children, the husband may worry about the threat of a possible altered breast cancer gene passed on to the children. If the couple is still planning on having children, the husband may have concerns about future childbearing. Indeed, our prior research suggests that concerns about implications for altered breast cancer gene passed on to children are important to high risk women (Lerman, et al., 1995) and that testing may impact on reproductive plans (Lerman, et al., 1994).

Second, a husband may worry about the later development of cancer in his wife. The expectation of caregiving to an ill spouse, as well as worry about possible loss of the wife to cancer may each cause distress. Third, if the wife is distressed by the risk notification, her distress is likely to be conveyed to her spouse and is likely to lead to the husband becoming distressed. Indeed, studies of cancer patients and their spouses have suggested that spousal distress levels are highly correlated (Northouse, 1988).

In addition to impacting husbands' distress, genetic testing may place strain on the marital relationship. Our pilot data indicate that most couples discuss decisions (e.g., whether or not to undergo testing). Difficulty in communication during these discussions can result in less satisfaction with the marital relationship for both partners. Our prior research among cancer patients suggests that, if the patient feels constrained in his or her ability to talk with the spouse about emotional concerns, this leads to decreased marital satisfaction and psychological distress for patients (Manne et al., 1997; Manne et al., 1997). A second source of marital strain may be the support-related interactions between women and their spouses. Individuals typically seek support from their spouses when they are distressed and unsupportive responses by spouses are a main determinant of marital dissatisfaction (Gottman et al., 1989). If genetic testing participants do not receive the expected spousal support, marital strain is likely. In addition, couples who begin the testing process with marital problems may be particularly vulnerable to increased marital strain when they receive notification of a genetic mutation. Most of the psychological literature dealing with families at high risk for breast-ovarian cancer focuses on either the affected individual or the person genetically at risk (Lerman et al., 1996; Croyle et al., 1997). Almost no attention has been paid to the spouse of the individual at risk or the spouse's response to notification of carrier status. There are a limited number of studies which examine the psychological impact on spouses of predictive testing programs for Huntington's disease (HD) which indicate that partners of HD carriers experience marital distress (Codori et al., 1994; Quaid et al., 1995; Tibben et al., 1993). Tibben et al. (1997) found that partners had similar patterns of psychological distress over a 6-month follow up compared to tested individuals. Both carriers and their partners evidenced distress returning to pretest levels over the 3 year follow-up. However, among noncarriers, different patterns were found for carriers and their partners. Whereas noncarriers' partners had significantly lower levels of intrusive thoughts and avoidance at the 3 year follow-up, the levels of intrusive and avoidant thoughts were at pre-test levels for noncarriers themselves. Partners of carriers who had children were more hopeless and distressed than partners without children, illustrating the important role of worries about children. Given that the illness course of HD is difficult and disease prevention is not possible, it is not known whether similar psychological responses occur among partners of BRCA carriers.

# Significance of the Study

The findings of this current study will make contributions to the empirical literature as well as have implications for genetic testing programs. First, this study would quantify the impact on the spouse, and identify those spouses who are vulnerable to a poor psychological outcome after testing. Distressed spouses could be offered adjunctive psychological support during the testing

process. Second, if disclosure of test results causes marital strain, then participants might benefit from the inclusion of spouses in disclosure sessions or training in more effective methods of facilitating disclosure of results. Identification of couples "at risk" for marital and psychological strain during this process can be facilitated. Third, those participants with low levels of spousal support might be targeted for adjunctive therapies that bolster social support.

In addition, this research would have important implications for the way in which genetic counseling and testing programs are currently being conducted. If disclosure of results causes marital strain for some participants, then participants might benefit from the inclusion of spouses in disclosure sessions or training in more effective methods of facilitating disclosure of results to family members. If mutation carriers who have more distressed marriages at the onset of the testing process or carriers who perceive more constraints in their ability to talk with their husband about concerns related to breast cancer are particularly vulnerable to poor psychological outcomes, these participants can be identified early and these women can be offered adjunctive therapies that bolster social support from other sources, or offered marital counseling. The information provided by this study would assist genetic testing providers to anticipate and more effectively deal with problems that may arise in clinical genetics programs.

# **Preliminary Studies**

Lerman et al. (1996) examined 279 members of breast-ovarian families and found that noncarriers of BRCA1 mutations showed significant decreases in depression compared to carriers and decliners of testing one month post-notification (Lerman et al., 1996). There were no significant changes in carriers or decliners. These results indicate that, at least in the short term, the majority of high risk individuals do not evidence significant psychological distress. However, there was variability in the distress measure, indicating that education or other psychological factors might contribute to diffferences in psychological impact of testing. This study also did not identify individual differences in responses to testing, including the impact on family relationships.

Manne conducted a pilot study of 20 high risk women participating in the genetic testing program for breast-ovarian cancer at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. Women were administered questionnaires pre-genetic counseling, one month post-genetic counseling and one month post-test notification (1/14 tested positive). Pre-counseling: 90% of women discussed the decision to seek testing with their spouses and sought spouse advice. On average, spousal advice was rated as having "somewhat" of a role in the testing decision. Most women planned to disclose results to their husbands (90%). On average, participants anticipated a little difficulty in sharing results and felt husbands would be "somewhat" supportive during the discussion of test results. Post-counseling results indicated that most participants discussed the results of the counseling session with their husbands. On average, they rated their spouses as "somewhat" supportive and felt the process had placed "a little" strain on the marital relationship. A subsample of 20% of participants reported that their spouses had avoided discussing the issue and reported that the process placed some strain on the relationship. One month post-notification: only 1/14 participants were carriers (too small for statistical comparisons). All but one of the women had disclosed results to their

spouses. Whereas marital strain imposed by testing was relatively low in the majority, 30% stated their spouses "somewhat avoided" discussing the testing and half rated their spouses as "somewhat supportive" (3 on a 5 point Likert scale).

#### **BODY**

# **Technical Objectives**

We are conducting a prospective study to evaluate the impact of genetic testing for breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility on the marital relationships of women at risk as well as the impact upon the quality of life of their husbands. This study is a companion proposal to an ongoing, DOD-funded prospective study that is evaluating the outcomes of genetic testing for breast-ovarian cancer susceptibility on women from hereditary breast cancer families. The proposed study extends the ongoing DOD-funded study to examine the impact of genetic testing upon the marital relationship and the psychosocial impact on the spouse.

Aim 1: To evaluate the short- and long-term impact of BRCA1/2 testing on psychological distress (both general and cancer-specific) of husbands of participants in genetic testing programs. At 1- and 6-months post-notification, husbands of women who have a confirmed BRCA1/2 mutation will have increased psychological distress (general and cancer-specific) compared with husbands of non-carriers (NC) and test decliners (TD). At 12 months, there will be no differences between the three groups.

Aim 2: To evaluate the short- and long-term impact of BRCA1/2 testing on the marital relationships of participants and husbands, and examine whether marital satisfaction is an early predictor of psychological morbidity among participants in genetic testing programs and their spouses. It is hypothesized that, at 1- and 6-months post-notification, husbands of women who have a confirmed BRCA1/2 mutation (Mc) will have decreased marital satisfaction compared with husbands of non-carriers (Nc) and test decliners (Td). At 12 months, there will be no differences between the three groups. It is hypothesized that, for participants with high levels of marital satisfaction at baseline, marital satisfaction will not change significantly from pre- to post-notification (Mc, Nc, Td). For participants with low levels of marital satisfaction at baseline, carriers' marital satisfaction will decrease over the one year follow-up whereas noncarriers' and decliners' marital satisfaction will not change over the 1 year follow-up. Similar predictions are made for husbands.

Aim 3: To examine the association between spouse responses during the testing process and carriers' distress post-notification. Carrier women who evidence high levels of cancer-related worries and experience more constraints in their ability to talk to their spouse about the testing experience will evidence more psychological distress and lower marital satisfaction at 6- and 12-months post-notification.

#### **Methods**

# Overview of Study Design

<u>Parent DOD study</u>. In this prospective longitudinal study, eligible women are invited to participate in a baseline telephone interview. Subsequently, they are invited to participate in a Pre-Test education session and are offered a test for the BRCA1 mutation known to be segregating in their family. The results of this test are presented at an individual genetic counseling session. All women receive follow-up phone interviews at 1-, 6-, and 12-months post-disclosure. Persons who agree to participate in the study but decline Pre-test education and/or mutation status determination receive the same telephone interviews. Analyses compare mutation carriers, noncarriers and participants who decline testing.

<u>Proposed Study</u>. We propose to extend the parent study to examine psychological impact of testing on spouses of these women as well as examine impact on the marital relationship from patient and spouse perspectives.

# **Participants**

Persons eligible for this study are married females, ages 18 and older, who are member of HBOC families in which as disease conferring mutation has been identified, and their spouses. We estimate that about 30% of the sample will be affected (statistical analyses will control for status-affected vs. at-risk). Subjects are ineligible for this study if either they have a psychiatric or cognitive disorder which precludes informed consent.

Based on current figures for accrual for the ongoing study, 5 women per week will be eligible for participation. Study accrual will span two and one half years. Seventy percent of the pool of 650 women will be married (N= 455). Of these 455, current figures from the ongoing study suggest that 30% (137) will decline mutation status testing. If 318 women elect to receive test results, about 145 (32%) should be mutation carriers and 172 (38%) noncarriers (there are more non-carriers since some subjects will be at 25% risk). Ten percent of participants drop out of the study by the one year follow-up, with a final sample size of 410: N, carrier group=130, N, noncarrier group=152, N, decline testing=130. Women will be eligible for the study if their spouse declines participation (this is relevant to sample size for Aim 3). From the PI's ongoing study of couples with cancer, it is anticipated that 10% of spouses will decline participation. Thus the final sample size of husbands is 370 (of which 110 are carrier couples). Given our current sample, we expect that 65% of subjects will be white, 25% African American, 5% Hispanic, and 5% Asian/Pacific Islander or Native American.

#### **Procedures**

<u>Identification of subjects</u>. Procedures for identifying eligible HBOC families are described in detail in the funded DOD parent grant. We will provide an abbreviated description of study procedures and focus on spousal recruitment procedures.

<u>Recruitment of participants.</u> Procedures are being used sucessfully in the ongoing study that forms the basis for this proposal. Informed consent procedures are consistent with the guidelines of the NIH/National Center for Human Genome Research (NCHGR) Cancer Studies Consortium, of which Dr. Lerman is a member.

Recruitment of spouses. The introductory letter will include a description of the desire for spouse participation and a rationale for the inclusion of spouses in the study. When women are contacted for oral consent for the baseline telephone interview, permission to contact the spouses will be obtained. It will be stressed that permission to contact spouses is not a requirement for participation in the individual portion of the study. A letter will be sent to spouses immediately after permission is given to contact them. Written informed consent for the telephone interviews with the spouses will be obtained.

Baseline Telephone Interview: Women. In addition to the information already being collected (cancer-specific and general distress), the following will be administered: plans to disclose test results to the spouse, strain of testing process on marital relationship, perceived constraints in talking to the spouse, and marital satisfaction.

A Pre-Test Standard Education Session will be conducted within the next four weeks among consenting subjects. Written consent is obtained from all subjects prior to the education session. A genetic counselor conducts all sessions, under the supervision of a medical oncologist and Dr. Lerman (See Appendix for topics). Determination of Carrier Status, Genetic Counseling/Disclosure of Genetic Test Results. Cancer Prevention/Surveillance Recommendations. Mutation status tests and counseling are offered to all high risk females. Informed consent, procedures and topics covered in the Disclosure session are described in the Appendix. At the patient's discretion, a spouse or companion may be present at this meeting (controlled for in statistical analysis). Follow-up Genetic Counseling is conducted by telephone about two weeks after disclosure of mutation status (only for those subjects who received test results) (see Appendix). Follow-up Telephone Interviews are conducted at 1-, 6- and 12-months after the individual genetic counseling session for subjects who received results of mutation testing. Subjects who declined to be tested will be contacted for follow-up at these timepoints after the Pre-Test Education date of their index family member (proband). Telephone interviews are conducted (by blinded interviewers) to reassess measures included in the ongoing study.

<u>Data collection procedures: Spouses</u>. After spouses give written consent for the telephone interview, they will be administered surveys by phone at the same times as the wives are

administered surveys: baseline, 1-, 6- and 12-months after the individual genetic counseling session for spouses of subjects who received the test results.

Telephone interviews will be supervised by Dr. Audrain (women) and Dr. Manne (spouses). Telephone interviews are used successfully in ongoing data collection. At the end of the interview, women are invited to attend a Pre-Test Education session. Those who decline are asked if we may contact them for follow-up interviews. In the ongoing study, there is 80% compliance with interviews, even among decliners.

Measures given to both partners, all time points: 1) Cancer-Specific Distress: The Impact of Events Scale (IES) is a 21 item scale that has intrusion and avoidance subscales; 2) Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25: a 25 item Likert scale indicating severity of anxiety and depression; 3) Dyadic Adjustment Scale: widely-used 32-item scale assessing marital satisfaction (Kagan et al., 1991). Subscales include cohesion, satisfaction, affection and consensus; 4) Marital Strain; 2items assessing marital strain during testing process. Additional measures for women: 1) Baseline: a) whether decision to seek genetic testing was discussed with spouse; b) whether subjects plan to disclose test results to spouse; c) constraints in talking with husband about breast cancer and testing (5 items; adapted from Lepore's (Lepore et al., 1996) scale); 2) Post-notification: a) whether or not test results were disclosed; b) spouse supportive/unsupportive responses during discussion; c) if no disclosure was made, whether or not disclosure is planned; d) constraints. Additional measures for husbands: 1) Baseline: a) whether decision to test was discussed; 2) Post-notification: a) whether test results were discosed; 3) All time points: Cancer specific distress/concerns (in addition to IES): Worries about testing effects on: a) children; b) childbearing decisions; c) worry about possible cancer diagnosis; d) worry about caregiving responsibilities should the wife be diagnosed.

Data Analysis: Hypothesis 1: The two dependent measures (husband IES, HSCL) will be examined individually (i.e., univariate analyses) and together (multivariate analyses) using multifactor fixed effect ANOVA and ANCOVA with blocking on family (women are from hereditary families). The 1-, 6-, and 12-month post-notification responses will first be analyzed separately with baseline response used as a covariate. The two dependent variables will be analyzed together using repeated measures ANOVA, with the between groups factor as test group (Mc, Nc, Td). The independent variables will include: (1) whether or not the woman is affected and (2) whether the husband was present during counseling and disclosure of test results. We will also explore the influence of other relevant sociodemographic variables and interactions (e.g. husband education, ethnicity) are explored. These tests of interaction effects will identify variables which modify the impact of testing among husbands of carriers, noncarriers, and test decliners. Hypothesis 2: The analysis of DAS scores of husbands and wives will be analyzed separately using the same ANOVA and ANCOVA approaches outlined above for husband distress. For the second question, we will examine the influence of marital satisfaction at baseline on post-notification marital satisfaction of women and husbands. High and low marital satisfaction will be determined by a median split on the baseline DAS variable. A repeated measures MANOVA will be conducted separately for the two indicators of marital satisfaction (general

marital satisfaction and marital strain). Baseline general marital satisfaction will be entered into the analysis. We will examine differences in marital satisfaction over time between the three groups (Mc, Nc, Td) using ANOVA approaches outlined above, examining the interaction between carrier status and baseline marital satisfaction. It is predicted that carriers and husbands with low marital satisfaction at baseline will evidence more marital dissatisfaction post-notification than carriers with high marital satisfaction or noncarriers and test decliners with low or high marital satisfaction Hypothesis 3: This analyses will be conducted on women who are carriers. We will use separate regression analyses with women's psychological distress and marital satisfaction at 1-, 6- and 12-months post-notification as dependent variables. We enter first into the equation sociodemographic variables which predict distress and marital satisfaction. Next, we will enter: 1) distress at baseline, 2) intrusive thoughts about cancer, 3) constraints in talking with the husband, 4) the interaction term between intrusive thoughts and constraints (centered). It is anticipated that the interaction term will be significant. Power Analysis: The design of the study is essentially one of clustered sampling, since study subjects are identified on the basis of family membership. Outcome measures will be considered at the four time points. Between participant factors will include test result (carrier, noncarrier, decliners). An interaction effect would reveal a different course of psychological responses over time. This is not done yet.

# **Preliminary Results**

To date, gene mutation test results have not been received by the majority of patients. Thus, we report several sample means on outcome variables. Additionally, statistical significance has not been determined yet because of the small sample size. The preliminary analysis includes baseline data from 35 husbands (demographics and outcome variables) and 49 wives (outcome variables only.)

## **Findings**

>

#### Sample Characteristics for Husbands

The mean age of the husbands was  $50.65 \pm 12.24$  years. All of the husbands had completed high school. Fifty-three percent of the husbands had degrees from graduate school. The husbands had been married 3.5 to 49 years (mean time=20.3 years.) Ninety-four percent of the sample were Caucasian.

# Results: General Psychological Distress, Cancer Specific Psychological Distress, and Marital Satisfaction

Means and standard errors for the main variables of interest are reported in the table below. Lower scores for cancer specific avoidance, cancer specific intrusive thoughts, psychological distress, state anxiety, and trait anxiety indicate better psychological profiles. Higher scores for marital adjustment indicates a greater satisfaction with the marital relationship.

In this section we present mean levels of psychological distress and marital satisfaction for both partners (Table 1).

Table 1. Means and standard errors of the variables of interest by subgroup and time of questionnaire

Variables of Interest	Bas	seline	1-Month Follow-Up	
	Wives (n=49)	ives (n=49) Husbands (n=35)		
Cancer Specific Avoidance	9.33 (1.07)	6.71 (1.45)	6.33 (2.43)	
Cancer Specific Intrusive Thoughts	10.09 (1.25)	4.97 (0.87)	9.00 (2.19)	
Psychological Distress	39.12 (2.53)	33.55 (1.29)	35.83 (2.68)	
State Anxiety		11.63 (2.03)	11.33 (4.11)	
Trait Anxiety		6.30 (1.91)		
Marital Adjustment	115.44 (4.23)*	98.87 (2.90)	121.50 (7.49)	

<sup>\*</sup>n=26

The husbands scored lower than the wives (n=49) on each measure for which there was comparative data at baseline. Wives were more likely to use avoidance, have intrusive thoughts, and experience psychological distress compared to the husbands. Wives also rated higher marital satisfaction.

The men scored lower at baseline (n=35) on four of the measures of interest (the presence of intrusive thoughts, general psychological distress, state anxiety, and marital adjustment) than at 1-month follow-up (n=6). Only the mean score on the avoidance measure was higher at baseline compared to the 1-month follow-up, indicating an increase in the use of avoidance after learning of the wife's genotype. The degree of state (acute) anxiety in the husbands at baseline also was greater than the degree of trait (chronic) anxiety.

# Obstacles to Beginning Research-Related Tasks

Due to delays in the early phase of the study, our study recruitment is five months behind schedule. The delay was related to two factors:

(1) The principal investigator was not informed by the Research Administration of Fox Chase that a notice of grant award was given May 4, 1998. This date was four months earlier than the anticipated date of September, 1998 which was listed on the DOD award application. We were not notified of the study's approval status by the Fox Chase Cancer Center Research Administration until July, 1998.

(2) After revisions of the study protocol were submitted to the Institutional Review Board at FCCC, final IRB approval to begin the study was not granted until October 12, 1998 due to a month (August) vacation of the IRB chair, Dr. T. London. We began recruitment immediately after notification, but we are still trying to attain our projected numbers of completed interviews for this point in our time schedule.

# Statement of Work

# Task 1 -- Month 1

Refine measures and train interviewers; plan communication between the two study sites (Georgetown and Fox Chase.)

- a. The measures have been finalized.
- b. Questionnaires have been xeroxed.
- c. Resident assistants have been trained to conduct the interviews.
- **d.** The procedures for sharing patient information between the two study sites has been developed and tested.

#### Task 2 -- Months 2-29

Subject recruitment and data collection as of March 25, 1999

- a. Seventy-eight patients (wives) have been approached at Georgetown University for interest in participating in the study. Sixty (77%) of these women permitted us to contact their husbands for participation in the Fox Chase Cancer Center Study.
- **b.** Thirty-four husbands successfully have completed baseline surveys on marital satisfaction, impact of genetic testing on the marital relationship, and constraints.
- c. Sixty husbands have been approached to participate in the study. Of these, 11 refused to participate, and 2 dropped out of the study. Reasons for refusal: (1) the wife had received the results of genetic testing before the husband had completed the baseline questionnaire (n=2); (2) the husbands felt that the questionnaire involved issues that were personal (n=3); (3) the wives decided against completing the genetic testing procedure (n=2); and (4) the husbands were not interested in participating (n=4).
- d. One month follow-up surveys have been administered to 6 husbands and 6 patients (wives).
- e. Interviews have been supervised by Drs. Manne (FCCC) and Audrain (GUMC) on a weekly basis.

# Task 3 -- Months 1-3

Data screens set up; data entry begun at Fox Chase

a. Data at GUMC are collected using Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) and screens have been established at that site for this purpose.

- b. Data at FCCC are not collected using CATI. Data entry screens have been established.
- c. Data entry has been ongoing for several months at Fox Chase Cancer Center.

Task 4 -- Months 29-36
Completion of follow-up interviews

a. Follow-up interviews will be completed for accrued patients and spouses.

Task 5 -- Months 30-36 Data Analyses

- a. Encrypted data will be transfered by e-mail from GUMC to FCCC.
- **b.** Data analyses will be conducted to test the impact of testing and declining upon partners' marital satisfaction, marital strain, and the psychological distress of husbands.

#### Discussion

The results of the preliminary analysis suggest that husbands may experience less psychological distress than wives at the baseline assessment (pre-mutation disclosure). This finding likely is explained by the fact that the wives are undergoing genetic testing. However, the level of distress may increase for the husbands within one month of learning of the wife's mutation status results. Further analysis when more disclosures have been completed will elucidate the role of mutation status outcome (MC, TD, NC) in these outcome variables.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Due to the small sample size that has been acquired thus far, it is too early in the data collection process to make conclusions. However, data collection is progressing smoothly. Within the next few months, general trends or patterns in the data should be obvious. Significant differences by groups or by the time of questionnaire administration will be determined at that time.

#### REFERENCES

Berman DB, Costalas J, Schultz DC, et al. A common mutation in BRCA2 that predisposes to a variety of cancers is found in both Jewish Ashkenazi and Non-Jewish individuals. Cancer Research. 1996; 56:3409-3414.

Codori AM, Brandt J. Psychological costs and benefits of predictive testing for Huntington's Disease. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 1994; 54:174-184.

Croyle RT, Smith KR, Botkin JR, et al. Psychological responses to BRCA1 mutation testing: Preliminary findings. Health Psychology. 1997; 16(1):63-72.

Easton DF, Bishop DR, Ford D, et al. Genetic linkage analysis in familial breast and ovarian cancer: Results from 214 families. American Journal of Human Genetics. 1993; 52:678-701.

Easton DF, Ford D, Bishop DT, et al. Breast and ovarian cancer incidence in BRCA1 mutation carriers. American Journal of Human Genetics. 1995; 56:265-271.

Gottman J, Krokoff J. Marital interaction and satisfaction: A longitudinal view. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 1989; 57:47-52.

Lerman C, Audrain J, Croyle RT. DNA-testing for hereditable breast cancer risk: Lessons from traditional genetic testing. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 1994; 16(4):327-333.

Lerman C, Narod S, Schulman K, et al. BRCA1 testing in families with hereditary breast-ovarian cancer: A prospective study of patient decision making and outcomes. JAMA. 1996; 275(24):1885-1892.

Lerman C, Seay J, Balshem A, et al. Interest in genetic testing among first-degree relatives of breast cancer patients. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 1995; 57:385-392.

Manne S. Social constraints, intrusive thoughts, and psychological distress among cancer patients. [Unpublished] 1997.

Manne SL, Pape SJ, Taylor KL, et al. Spouse support, coping, and adjustment to cancer. [Unpublished] 1997.

Miki Y, Swenson J, Shattuck-Eidens D., et al. A strong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. Science. 1994; 266:66-71.

Northouse L. Social Support in patients' and husbands' adjustment to breast cancer. Nursing Research. 1988; 37:91-95.

Quaid KA, Wesson MK. Exploration of the effects of predictive testing for Huntington's Disease on intimate relationships. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 1995; 57:46-51.

Thorlacius S, Olafsdottir G, Tryggvadottir L, et al. A single BRCA2 mutation in male and female breast cancer families from Iceland with varied cancer phenotypes. Nature Genetics. 1996; 13:117-119.

Tibben A, Frets PG, van de Kamp J, Piermeijer MF, et al. Presymptomatic DNA-Testing for Huntington Disease: Pretest attitudes and expectations of applicants and their partners in the Dutch program. American Journal of Medical Genetics. 1993; 48(1):10-16.

Tibben A, Timmen R, Bannick EC, et al. Three-year follow-up after presymptomatic testing for Huntington's Disease in tested individuals and partners. Health Psychology. 1997; 16(1)20-35.

Wooster R, Bignell G, Lanchaster J, et al. Identification of the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA2. Nature. 1995; 378:789-792.

**APPENDIX** 

# **Appendix**

# **Study Measures Key**

#### Measures Administered to Both Partners

- A. General Psychological Distress -- Hopkins Symptom Checklist -- 25
- B. Cancer Specific Psychological Distress -- Impact of Events Scale
- C. General Marital Satisfaction -- Dyadic Adjustment Scale
- D. Cancer Specific and General Anxiety -- State-Trait Anxiety Inventory
- E. Marital Strain Imposed by Testing Process

## Measures Administered Only to Wives

## F. Baseline

Decision to seek testing discussed with husband Plans to disclose test results with husband

# G. Follow Ups

Has decision to test been discussed? How did he respond? Plans to disclose in the future

## H. All Time Points

Constraints Measure

## Measures Administered Only to Husbands

#### I. Baseline

Has wife discussed decision to seek testing? Breast cancer concerns

# J. Follow Ups

Has wife discussed results with genetic testing? Breast cancer concerns

**Measures Administered to Both Partners** 

# HSCL-25

**Instructions:** Listed below are some symptoms of strain that people sometimes have. Please read each one carefully and circle the number that best reflects how much that symptom has bothered you during the <u>past month</u>.

	Not at All	A Little	Quite a Bit	Extremely
1.	Suddenly scared for no reason 1	2	3	4
2.	Feeling fearful 1	2	3	4
3.	Faintness, dizziness, or weakness 1	2	3	4
4.	Nervousness or shakiness inside 1	2	3	4
5.	Heart pounding or racing 1	2 .	3	4
6.	Trembling 1	2	3	4
7.	Feeling tense or keyed up 1	2	3	4
8.	Headaches1	2	3	4
9.	Spells of terror or panic 1	2	3	4
10.	Feeling restless, can't sit still 1	2	3	4
11.	Feeling low in energy, slowed down 1	2	·3	4
12.	Blaming yourself for things 1	2	3	4
13.	Crying easily 1	2	3	4
14.	Loss of sexual interest or pleasure 1	2	3	4
15.	Poor appetite 1	2	3	4
16.	Difficult falling asleep, staying asleep 1	2	3	4
17.	Feeling hopeless about the future 1	2	3	4
18.	Feeling blue 1	2	3	4
19.	Feeling lonely 1	2	3	4
20.	Feeling trapped or caught 1	2	3	4
21.	Worrying too much about things 1	2	3	4
22.	Feeling no interest in things 1	2	3	4
23.	Thoughts of ending your life 1	2	3	4
24.	Feeling everything is an effort 1	2	3	4
25.	Feelings of worthlessness 1	2	3	4

# **Revised RIES**

Instructions: Below is a list of comments made by some people who have cancer in their family. Please tell how frequently these comments were true for you DURING THE PAST 7 DAYS. Would you say this occurred.... not at all, rarely, sometimes, or often?

	Not	at all	Rarely	Sometimes	Often
1.	I thought about it when I didn't mean to	0	1	3	5
2.	I avoided letting myself get upset when I thought about it or was reminded of it	0	. 1	3	5
3.	I tried to remove it from memory	0	1	3	5
4.	I had trouble concentrating	0	1	3	5
5.	Reminders of it caused me to have physical reactions, such as sweating, trouble breathing, nausea, or a pounding heart	0	1	. 3	5
6.	I had trouble falling asleep or staying asleep, because of pictures or thoughts about it that came into my mind	0	1	3	5
7.	I had waves of strong feelings about it	0	1	3	5
8.	I had dreams about it	0	1	3	5
9.	I felt watchful and on guard	0	1	3	5
10.	I stayed away from reminders of it	0	1	3	5
11.	I felt as if it hadn't happened or it wasn't real	0	1	. 3	5
12.	I tried not to talk about it	0	1	3	5
13.	Pictures about it popped into my mind	0	1	3	5
14.	I was jumpy and easily startled	0	1	3	5
15.	Other things kept making me think about it	0	1	3	5
16.	I felt irritable and angry	0	1	3	5
17.	I was aware that I had a lot of feelings about it, but I didn't deal with them	. 0	1	3	5
18.	I tried not to think about it	. 0	1	3	5
19.	Any reminder brought back feelings about it	0	1	3	5
20.	My feelings about it were kind of numb	0	1	3	5
21.	I found myself acting or feeling like I was back at that time	0	1	3	5

# CURRENT MARITAL ADJUSTMENT SCALE

**Instructions:** Most persons have disagreements in their relationships. Please indicate on the following list the extent of agreement or disagreement experienced between you and your partner <u>DURING THE PAST MONTH</u>.

	•	Always Agree	Almost Always Agree	Occasionally Disagree	Frequently Disagree	Almost Always Disagree	Always Disagree
1.	Handling family finances						
2.	Matters of recreation			***********	·		
3.	Religious matters		************				
4.	Demonstration of affection	1					
5.	Friends						
6.	Sex relations		4//				
7.	Conventionality (correct or proper behavior)						<del></del> .
8.	Philosophy of Life						
9.	Ways of dealing with parents or in-laws						
10.	Aims, goals, and things believed important				<del></del>		
11.	Amount of time spend together	<del></del>					
12.	Making major decisions					***********	
13.	Household tasks						
14.	Leisure time interests and activities						
15.	Career decisions						

# PLEASE REMEMBER TO CONSIDER YOUR FEELINGS OVER THE PAST MONTH:

	All the time	Most of the time	More often than most	Occasionally	Rarely	Never
16. How often do you discuss or have you considered divorce, separation of terminating your relationsh	ip	·				
17. How often do you or your mate leave the house after a fight?						
	All the time	Most of the time	More often than most	Occasionally	Rarely	Never
18. In general, how often do you think that things be- tween you and your partner are going well?	r ——		***************************************	<del></del>		
19. Do you confide in your mate?						•
20. Do you ever regret that you married? (or live together)						•
21. How often do you and your partner quarrel?						
22. How often do you and you mate "get on each other's nerves?"						
	Every Day	Almo Ever Day	y Occas	ionally Rare	ly N	lever
23. Do you kiss your mate?			_	·		

# PLEASE REMEMBER TO CONSIDER YOUR FEELINGS OVER THE PAST MONTH:

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	All of Them	Most of Them	Some o			None of Them	
24. Do you and your mate engage in outside				<b>V.</b> 1		·	
interests together?				<del></del>			
How often would you say the fo	llowing ev	ents occur be	etween you ar	nd your ma	te?		
		Less than	About	About		·	
	Never	once a month	twice a month	twice a week	Once day	a More often	
25. Have a stimulating exchange of ideas							
26. Laugh together							•
27. Calmly discuss something				***************************************	-	•	
28. Work together on a project	·	·				-	
These are some things about whe	ich couple r were pro	s sometimes a blems in you	agree and son r relationship	netimes dis during the	agree. Is past mos	ndicate if either iten oth. (Check yes or	n below no)
Yes No	•			•.			•
29 E	Being too t	ired for sex.					
30 N	Not showin	ig love.					

# PLEASE REMEMBER TO CONSIDER YOUR FEELINGS OVER THE PAST MONTH:

31.	How would you describe the degree of happiness, all things considered, of your relationship? "Happy" represents the degree of happiness of most relationships. Please circle the letter which best describes the degree of happiness of your relationship.									
	A Extremely unhappy B Fairly unhappy C A little unhappy D Happy E Very happy F Extremely happy G Perfect									
32.	Please check one of the following statements which best describes how you feel about the future of relationship.	of your								
	I want desperately for my relationship to succeed, and would go to almost any length to s does.	see that it								
	I want very much for my relationship to succeed, and will do all I can to see that it does.									
	I want very much for my relationship to succeed, and will do my fair share to see that it do	loes.								
	It would be very nice if my relationship succeeded, but <u>I can't do much more</u> than I am d help it succeed.	oing now to								
	It would be nice if it succeeded, but I refuse to do any more than I am doing how to keep relationship going.	the .								
	My relationship can never succeed, and there is no more that I can do to keep the relation	aship going.								

# SELF-EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE STAI Form Y-1

**DIRECTIONS:** A number of statements which people have used to describe themselves are given below. Read each statement and then check in the appropriate square to the right of the statement to indicate how you feel <u>right now</u>, that is, <u>at this moment</u>. There are no right or wrong answers. Do not spend too much time on any one statement but give the answer which seems to describe your present feelings best.

	Not at all	Somewhat	Moderately So	Very Much so
1.	I feel calm			
2.	I feel secure			
3.	I am tense			
4.	I feel strained			
5.	I feel at ease			
6.	I feel upset			
7.	I am presently worrying over possible misfortunes	. a		
8.	I feel satisfied			
9.	I feel frightened			
10.	I feel comfortable			
11.	I feel self-confident			
12.	I feel nervous			
13.	I am jittery			
14.	I feel indecisive			
15.	I am relaxed			
16.	I feel content			
17.	I am worried			
18.	I feel confused			
19.	I feel steady			
20.	I feel pleasant			

# SELF-EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE STAI Form Y-2

**DIRECTIONS:** A number of statements which people have used to describe themselves are given below. Read each statement and then check in the appropriate square to the right of the statement to indicate how you **generally** feel. There are no right or wrong answers. Do not spend too much time on any one statement but give the answer which seems to describe how you generally feel.

21.	I feel pleasant	Almost Never	Some- times	Often	Almost Always
	I feel nervous and restless.				
	I feel satisfied with myself				
	I wish I could be as happy as others seem to be				
	I feel like a failure				
	I feel rested				
	I am "calm, cool and collected"				
	I feel that difficulties are piling up so that I cannot		_		u
20	Overcome them				
	I worry too much over something that doesn't really matter				
	I am happy				
31.	I have disturbing thoughts	🗆			
32.	I lack self-confidence	🗆			
	I feel secure				
	I make decisions easily				П
	I feel inadequate				
	I am content				
	Some unimportant thought runs through my mind & bothers me				П
	I take disappointments so keenly that I can't put them out	•••	_		
	of my mind	🗆		α.	
39.	I am a steady person				
	I get in a state of tension or turmoil as I think over my		_	_	
	recent concerns and interests	🗆			

# **Marital Strain Survey**

Instructions: Please answer the following questions, using the scales given.

# In the past month....

1.	To what degree	has the process	of genetic	c testing placed	a strain upon	your relationship	with	your wife?
----	----------------	-----------------	------------	------------------	---------------	-------------------	------	------------

1 2 3 4 5
No strain A little strain Some strain Much strain Extreme strain

2. To what degree has the process of genetic testing negatively affected your relationship with your wife?

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all A little Somewhat A lot Extremely

3. To what degree has the process of genetic testing positively affected your relationship with your wife?

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all A little Somewhat A lot Extremely

4. To what degree has the process of genetic testing made your relationship with your wife closer?

1 2 3 4 5 Not at all A little Somewhat A lot Extremely Measures Administered Only To Wives

1. Did you discuss the decision to seek genetic testing for breast cancer with your spouse (circle one)?

YES

NO

2. Do you plan to disclose your test results, should you chose to receive this information, with your husband?

YES

NO

Have not decided yet

# MARRIAGE AND GENETIC TESTING

1.	Have you	ı discussed tl r husband? (	ne results of th Circle one)	e genetic testir	ıg	YES	МО
If ; qu	you answe	ered YES to	this question	, please contir	ue. If you	answered	NO, please skip to
2.	How did	he respond?	When provid	ing your rating	s, please us	se the follo	wing scale:
	1 Not at a	2 all		3 Somewhat		4	5 Very much
		He was sup	portive and a	ppeared to und	erstand and	l empathize	with my reactions.
			discussing the				•
3.	pian on	disclosing th	ussed the test results of the (Circle one)	esults, do you genetic testin	g YES	МО	DO NOT KNOW
4.	PICACHE	o not plan or s you from sl your rating:	you do not kn paring this info	now whether you	ou will disc im? Please	lose your to use the fo	est results, what llowing scale in
	1 A little	2		3 Somewhat		4	5 Extremely
	<del>-</del>	I do not thi	nk he needs to	know this info	rmation.	•	
		He asked n	ot to be told.				
		I am worrie	d about the in	pact this inform	mation wou	ıld have up	on him.
	I am worried about the impact his would have on our relationship.						
	ANOTE		)N (please des				

**Instructions:** Following the results of your genetic testing, people will react in different ways. Fox example, some people want to talk about what has happened to them, while others do not. Please answer each question, indicating how frequently these comments were true for you. **DURING THE PAST WEEK INCLUDING TODAY.** 

	Almost Never		Sometimes		Almost Always
1. How often have you wanted to talk a the genetic testing experience (your r status, your worries, your feelings) w	isk				
your husband?	1	2	3	4	5

# Please answer the following questions pertaining to your husband.

		Not at all		Some	Α	great deal
2.	How often did you actually talk with your husband about the genetic testing experience?	1	2	3	4	5
3.	How often did you share your concerns about	Not at all		Some	A	. great deal
	the genetic testing experience with your husband?	1	2	3	4	5
4.	How often did you actually talk with people	Not at all		Some A great de		A great deal
	other than your husband about the genetic testing experience?	1	2	3	4	5
5	How comfortable are you talking with your	Not at all		Some	A	great deal
<i>J</i> .	husband about the genetic testing experience?	1	2	3	4	5
6.	How comfortable are you sharing your	Not at all		Some	A	great deal
	thoughts and feelings with other family and friends?	1	2	3	4	5

**Measures Administered Only to Husbands** 

# Disclosure Question

1. Did your wife discuss the decision to seek genetic testing for breast cancer with you?

(Circle one)

YES

NO

# **Disclosure Questions**

1. Has your wife discussed the results of the genetic testing for breast cancer with you? (Circle one)

YES

NO

# **CONCERNS SCALE**

· Please rate how concerned you are about the following issues:

1. I worry about my children's cancer risk.

	1	2	3.	4	5
No	Fairly	A little	Somewhat	Very	Extremely
children	concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned

2. I worry about our decision to have more children in the future.

	1	2	3	4	5
We are not	Fairly	A little	Somewhat	Very	Extremely
planning	concerned	concerned	concerned	concerners	concerned
more children					

3. I worry about the possibility of my wife being diagnosed with cancer in the future.

1	2	3	4	5
Fairly	A little	Somewhat	Very	Extremely
concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned

4. I worry about having to become responsible for taking care of my wife and family should she be diagnosed with cancer.

1	2	3	4	5
Fairly	A little	Somewhat	Very	Extremely
concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned	concerned

**Consent Form** 

IRB#<u>98-802</u> Page 1 of 4 Version 3.0, September 17, 1998

#### INFORMED CONSENT FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH

#### SPOUSE INFORMED CONSENT

I am being asked to participate in a research study which will evaluate the impact of education and counseling about genetic testing for breast and/or ovarian cancer. I have been told that I have the option not to participate.

The nature of this study, the risks, inconveniences, discomforts, and other pertinent information about the study are explained below. I am urged to discuss any questions I have about this study with the staff members who explain it to me.

The title of this research study is: IMPACT OF BRCA1/2 TESTING ON MARITAL RELATIONSHIPS.

# Purpose of the Research Study:

The study will examine the impact of genetic testing for an increased risk of breast and/or ovarian cancer on the marital relationships of women at risk, as well as the impact upon the quality of life of their husbands. Genetic testing for cancer risk can be stressful for both the woman at risk and her spouse. The investigators are interested in understanding these issues.

#### Description of the Research Procedures:

I understand that this study involves research. If I consent to participate, I will be asked to complete phone interviews at four different points in time. The first interview will occur prior to my wife's participation in an education session about the genetic testing. The three remaining interviews will be conducted at approximately 1-,6-, and 12-month intervals following the session.

Each interview will ask questions about marital satisfaction, psychological well-being, and concerns about the impact of the genetic testing. Each interview should take approximately 30-45 minutes.

My spouse will also be asked to complete similar inteviews. My responses to the interviews are completely confidential, as are my spouse's responses.

Other than time required for participation (a total of 2-3 hours over a 1 year period), this study will not involve changes in my daily activities.

### **Risks**

This study involves research that presents minimal risk. While there are no physical risks/side effects involved in my participation, there is the possibility of psychological distress. It is possible that answering questions contained in the interview may be upsetting. Should I become upset, the principal investigator will be available to provide reassurance, and, if appropriate, a professional referral.

IRB#<u>98-802</u> Page 2 of 4 Version 3.0, September 17, 1998

Although unlikely, if I am injured as a result of my participation in this research study, emergency care, hospitalization, and outpatient care will be made available by the hospital and billed to me. No money will be provided by the hospital as compensation for a research-related injury.

#### **Benefits**

No guarantee is being offered that I will benefit from this study; however, the investigators have reason to believe that the information I provide will be beneficial to future couples undergoing genetic testing. Although the investigators hope that this research study will be of benefit to me, or that it will help others, they cannot say that it will help me directly.

If I wish, I will be informed of the results of this study when it is completed.

## Financial Cost

Participation in this study will not involve any additional financial costs.

## Confidentiality

My responses to these interviews are confidential. Both interviews and computerized data from the interviews will be kept confidential. I understand that my answers to the interviews will not be shared with my spouse without my express permission, and my spouse's answers to her interviews will not be shared with me without her permission.

I understand that there is a possibility that authorized individuals from government agencies such as the Food and Drug Administration, Office of Protection from Research Risks, U.S. Army Medical Research and Material Command may review my records as part of their responsibility to protect human subjects in research.

My name or any other personally identifying information will not be used in reports.

#### **Ouestions**

If I have questions about the research, or in the event of a research-related injury, I may contact the Institutional Review Board which is concerned with protection of participants in research projects. I may reach the Board office by calling (215)-728-2518, 9:00 am to 3:00 pm, Monday to Friday, or by writing the Institutional Review Board, Fox Chase Cancer Center, 7701 Burholme Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19111.

I am free to ask questions at any time about these procedures and to ask for additional information. If I have questions, I can reach Dr. Sharon Manne, the psychologist conducting the study, at (215)-728-2896.

IRB#<u>98-802</u> Page 3 of 4 Version 3.0, September 17, 1998

# Significant Findings

As the research progresses any significant new findings, beneficial or otherwise, will be told to me and explained as related to my case.

# Right to Refuse or Withdraw

Participating in this study is voluntary. I may refuse to answer any specific question or interview items. I understand that I am free to withdraw my consent to participate in this study at any time. If I withdraw, there will not be penalty or loss of benefits.

IRB#<u>98-802</u> Page 4 of 4 Version 3.0, September 17, 1998

# INFORMED CONSENT FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH

	SPOUSE CONSENT
TITLE:	IMPACT OF BRCA1/2 TESTING ON MARITAL RELATIONSHIPS
, , , ,	indicate that I have read this form, received acceptable answers to any questions, and participate. I will receive a copy of this form.
Date	Investigator's Signature
Date	Spouse's Signature

Name (Print)